Student feedback analysis 2013-14

T	Student reedback analysis 2013-14		
	1a	Efficient	55.56%
	Working	Average	37.78%
		Insufficient	4.89%
Q 1 Administrative		No response	1.33%
office	1b Behavior	Supportive	57.33%
omee		Sometimes Supportive	36.00%
		Not Supportive	4.89%
		No response	1.78%
		Adequate	57.78%
	2a Availability	Average	35.78%
	of books	Inadequate	5.33%
		No response	1.11%
		Good	63.56%
Q 2 About	2b library	Average	32.22%
library	services	Poor	4.00%
		No response	0.22%
		Supportive	62.22%
	2c Behavior	Sometimes Supportive	26.22%
	of staff	Not Supportive	8.89%
		No response	2.67%
		Frequently	53.78%
	 3a	Sometimes	31.56%
	Placement drive information conveyed	Never	13.56%
		No response	1.11%
0.3		Efficient	50.44%
Q 3 About	3b PDP	Satisfactory	37.33%
Placement	programme execution	Insufficient	8.00%
Cell		No response	4.22%
		Frequently	46.89%
	3c You visit	Sometimes	37.33%
	placement cell for carrier guidance	Never	13.11%
		No response	2.67%
		Good	34.44%
	4a Quality	Average	34.89%
	of food	Poor	25.11%
Q 4	stuff	No response	5.56%
About		Good	31.56%
College Canteen	4b	Average	41.33%
	Canteen	Poor	27.11%
	services	No response	0.00%

	4c Behavior	Supportive	53.78%
		Sometimes Supportive	32.44%
	of staff	Not Supportive	6.67%
		No response	7.11%
		Supportive	72.22%
	5a Behavior	Sometimes Supportive	21.33%
Q 5	Sa bellaviol	Not Supportive	5.11%
About		No response	1.33%
supporting		Effective	66.00%
staff	5b Efficiency	Average	28.44%
	Sb Efficiency	Ineffective	4.44%
		No response	1.11%
		Excellent	67.78%
	6a Command over subject	Good	29.11%
		Insufficient	3.33%
		No response	0.00%
	6b Control over the class	Effective	69.11%
		Average	26.67%
		Rude	4.00%
Q 6		No response	0.22%
About teaching		Polite	75.56%
faculty		Average	17.78%
•	6c Behavior	Rude	6.44%
		No response	0.22%
		Always	72.22%
		Occasionally	19.78%
	6d Approachable	Rarely	7.33%
		No response	0.67%

Student feedback analysis 2014-15

	Student reedback analysis 2014-15		1
	1a	Efficient	56.83%
	Working	Average	33.26%
		Insufficient	7.71%
Q 1 Administrative		No response	2.20%
office	1b Behavior	Supportive	64.76%
		Sometimes Supportive	26.43%
		Not Supportive	7.93%
		No response	0.88%
		Adequate	48.68%
	2a Availability	Average	36.78%
	of books	Inadequate	5.73%
		No response	0.44%
		Good	64.10%
Q 2 About	2b library	Average	29.74%
library	services	Poor	4.63%
		No response	1.54%
		Supportive	58.81%
	2c Behavior	Sometimes Supportive	31.28%
	of staff	Not Supportive	7.93%
		No response	1.98%
		Frequently	58.37%
	3 a	Sometimes	34.58%
	Placement drive information conveyed	Never	6.61%
		No response	0.44%
0.3		Efficient	45.15%
Q 3 About	3b PDP	Satisfactory	47.58%
Placement	programme execution	Insufficient	7.27%
Cell		No response	0.22%
		Frequently	45.81%
	3c You visit	Sometimes	33.04%
	placement cell for carrier guidance	Never	19.82%
		No response	1.32%
		Good	48.02%
	4a Quality	Average	42.51%
	of food	Poor	9.47%
Q 4	stuff	No response	0.00%
About		Good	49.56%
College Canteen	4b	Average	42.51%
Canteen	Canteen	Poor	7.93%
	1		,.55/0

		Supportive	55.51%
	4c Behavior	Sometimes Supportive	33.70%
	of staff	Not Supportive	10.79%
		No response	0.00%
		Supportive	61.45%
	5a Behavior	Sometimes Supportive	27.97%
Q 5	Sa Dellavioi	Not Supportive	10.57%
About		No response	0.00%
supporting		Effective	52.86%
staff	5b Efficiency	Average	36.78%
	Sb Efficiency	Ineffective	7.93%
		No response	2.42%
	6a Command over subject	Excellent	53.30%
		Good	34.14%
		Insufficient	11.45%
		No response	1.10%
	6b Control over the class	Effective	56.83%
		Average	35.46%
		Rude	7.71%
Q 6		No response	0.00%
About teaching		Polite	62.11%
faculty	6c Behavior	Average	31.06%
·	oc Bellaviol	Rude	6.39%
		No response	0.44%
		Always	56.17%
	6d Approachable	Occasionally	38.99%
	60 Approachable	Rarely	4.85%
		No response	0.00%

Student feedback analysis 2015-16

	Student reedback analysis 2015-16		1
	1a	Efficient	43.17%
	Working	Average	50.98%
		Insufficient	5.21%
Q 1 Administrative		No response	0.65%
office	1b Behavior	Supportive	52.28%
		Sometimes Supportive	36.23%
		Not Supportive	11.28%
		No response	0.22%
		Adequate	59.44%
	2a Availability	Average	32.97%
	of books	Inadequate	7.16%
		No response	0.43%
		Good	70.07%
Q 2 About	2b library	Average	26.90%
library	services	Poor	2.60%
		No response	0.43%
		Supportive	65.51%
	2c Behavior of staff	Sometimes Supportive	26.46%
		Not Supportive	6.72%
		No response	1.30%
		Frequently	51.41%
	3a	Sometimes	31.24%
	Placement drive information conveyed	Never	14.32%
		No response	1.95%
Q 3		Efficient	50.33%
About	3b PDP	Satisfactory	31.89%
Placement	programme execution	Insufficient	13.02%
Cell		No response	4.77%
		Frequently	52.06%
	3c You visit placement cell for carrier guidance	Sometimes	24.95%
		Never	21.48%
		No response	1.52%
		Good	56.18%
	4a Quality	Average	33.62%
	of food	Poor	9.33%
Q 4	stuff	No response	0.87%
About College		Good	55.97%
Canteen	4b	Average	36.88%
	Canteen	Poor	6.94%
	services	No response	0.22%

		Supportive	63.34%
	4c Behavior	Sometimes Supportive	26.90%
	of staff	Not Supportive	8.46%
		No response	1.30%
		Supportive	69.20%
	5a Behavior	Sometimes Supportive	18.66%
Q 5	Sa Dellavioi	Not Supportive	11.71%
About		No response	0.43%
supporting		Effective	65.51%
staff	5b Efficiency	Average	26.68%
	Sb Efficiency	Ineffective	5.86%
		No response	1.95%
	6a Command over subject	Excellent	41.87%
		Good	52.49%
		Insufficient	3.69%
		No response	1.95%
	6b Control over the class	Effective	68.11%
		Average	27.11%
		Rude	4.56%
Q 6		No response	0.22%
About teaching		Polite	41.65%
faculty	6c Behavior	Average	53.36%
·	BC Bellavior	Rude	4.34%
		No response	0.65%
		Always	70.07%
	6d Approachable	Occasionally	24.51%
	6d Approachable	Rarely	4.56%
		No response	0.87%

Student feedback analysis 2016-17

	Student reedback analysis 2016-17		ı
	1a Wankin a	Efficient	50.98%
	Working	Average	36.54%
		Insufficient	4.38%
Q 1 Administrative		No response	8.10%
office	1b Behavior	Supportive	64.33%
		Sometimes Supportive	23.85%
		Not Supportive	1.75%
		No response	9.85%
		Adequate	56.46%
	2a Availability	Average	32.39%
	of books	Inadequate	4.38%
		No response	6.78%
		Good	68.49%
Q 2 About	2b library	Average	21.01%
library	services	Poor	4.81%
		No response	5.69%
		Supportive	68.49%
	2c Behavior	Sometimes Supportive	18.16%
	of staff	Not Supportive	3.94%
		No response	9.41%
		Frequently	61.05%
	3a	Sometimes	27.13%
	Placement drive information conveyed	Never	2.19%
		No response	9.63%
0.3		Efficient	49.89%
Q 3 About	3b PDP	Satisfactory	30.63%
Placement	programme execution	Insufficient	10.94%
Cell		No response	9.41%
		Frequently	46.83%
	3c You visit placement cell for carrier guidance	Sometimes	32.39%
		Never	13.35%
		No response	7.44%
		Good	62.14%
	4a Quality	Average	25.60%
	of food	Poor	6.56%
Q 4	stuff	No response	7.88%
About		Good	59.74%
College Canteen	4b	Average	30.20%
	Canteen	Poor	4.81%
	services	No response	5.25%

		Supportive	67.18%
	4c Behavior	Sometimes Supportive	20.57%
	of staff	Not Supportive	5.91%
		No response	6.35%
		Supportive	77.02%
	5a Behavior	Sometimes Supportive	10.72%
Q 5	Sa beliavioi	Not Supportive	5.03%
About		No response	7.22%
supporting		Effective	72.87%
staff	5b Efficiency	Average	17.51%
	Sb Efficiency	Ineffective	2.19%
		No response	7.44%
	6a Command over subject	Excellent	43.81%
		Good	48.38%
		Insufficient	5.94%
		No response	0.77%
	6b Control over the class	Effective	60.72%
		Average	33.88%
		Rude	4.39%
Q 6		No response	1.01%
About teaching	6c Behavior	Polite	50.31%
faculty		Average	45.55%
		Rude	3.35%
		No response	0.79%
		Always	74.18%
	6d Approachable	Occasionally	39.19%
	6d Approachable	Rarely	7.64%
		No response	0.87%

Student feedback analysis 2017-18

	Student reedback analysis 2017-18	T	
	1a	Efficient	52.93%
	Working	Average	42.08%
		Insufficient	4.99%
Q 1 Administrative		No response	0.00%
office	1b Behavior	Supportive	57.48%
060		Sometimes Supportive	35.36%
		Not Supportive	5.86%
		No response	0.22%
		Adequate	41.43%
	2a Availability	Average	55.31%
	of books	Inadequate	3.04%
		No response	0.22%
		Good	70.28%
Q 2 About	2b library	Average	23.86%
library	services	Poor	4.77%
		No response	1.08%
		Supportive	68.33%
	2c Behavior of staff	Sometimes Supportive	26.03%
		Not Supportive	5.64%
		No response	0.00%
		Frequently	67.03%
	3a	Sometimes	28.20%
	Placement drive information conveyed	Never	4.56%
		No response	0.22%
Q 3		Efficient	51.19%
About	3b PDP programme execution	Satisfactory	42.30%
Placement		Insufficient	5.86%
Cell		No response	0.65%
		Frequently	55.31%
	3c You visit placement cell for carrier guidance	Sometimes	33.62%
		Never	10.85%
		No response	0.00%
		Good	50.33%
	4a Quality	Average	41.87%
	of food	Poor	7.81%
Q 4	stuff	No response	0.00%
About College		Good	49.89%
Canteen	4b	Average	41.21%
	Canteen	Poor	6.72%
	services	No response	2.17%

		Supportive	58.13%
	4c Behavior	Sometimes Supportive	38.83%
	of staff	Not Supportive	3.04%
		No response	0.00%
		Supportive	70.93%
	5a Behavior	Sometimes Supportive	19.09%
Q 5	3a Bellaviol	Not Supportive	7.81%
About		No response	0.00%
supporting		Effective	63.77%
staff	5b Efficiency	Average	29.28%
	Sb Efficiency	Ineffective	6.72%
		No response	0.22%
	6a Command over subject	Excellent	77.22%
		Good	19.31%
		Insufficient	2.60%
		No response	0.87%
	6b Control over the class	Effective	45.55%
		Average	41.21%
		Rude	9.33%
Q 6		No response	3.90%
About teaching	6c Behavior	Polite	47.94%
faculty		Average	43.17%
		Rude	7.16%
		No response	1.74%
		Always	37.09%
	6d Approachable	Occasionally	48.37%
	6d Approachable	Rarely	7.59%
		No response	6.94%